

**IN THE COURT OF
SPECIAL JUDGE,
PROTECTION OF CHILDREN FROM
SEXUAL OFFENCE ACT (POCSO)
MIZORAM, AIZAWL**

BEFORE

**Mrs. Lucy Lalrinthari
Special Judge, POCSO Act
Aizawl Judicial District, Aizawl**

**SC. No.6 of 2015
Criminal Trial No.83/2015
u/s4 of POCSO Act,2012
Ref: Champhai PS C/No.131/14 dt.5-10-2014**

State of Mizoram

Vrs

Lalchhuanzela S/o Sapchhawna of Saikhumpha - Accused

PRESENT

For the Prosecution : Mrs.K.Lalremruati, Spl.P.P.

For the Accused : Mr.H.Lalrinthanga, Ld.Counsel.

Date of Hearing : 30.3.2016

Date of Judgment : 20.4.2016

JUDGMENT & ORDER

1. Accused Lalchhuanzela has been facing trial in connection with Criminal Trial No.83/2015 u/s 4 of POCSO Act,2012, Champhai PS C/No.131/14 dt.5-10-2014 and this court deliver the following judgment.

2. The prosecution story of the case in brief is as follows:-

On 5.10.2014 @ 1:40 pm, a written FIR was received from Lianchhana S/o Lalchhawna of Saikhumphai stating that on 31.8.2014 @ 1:00 pm, his daughter X (7) and her friends Y (8) D/o Lalhmangaihpuia and Z (6) D/o C.Liancheuva were raped by Lalchhuanzela S/o Sapchhuana of Saikhumphai in his residence. Hence, CPI-PS C/No-131/14 Dated 05.10.2014 U/s 376(2)(f) IPC R/w 4 of POCSO Act, 2012 was registered and duly investigated into.

3. During the course of investigation, the case I/O S.I. R.Lalremruata thoroughly examined the complainant and recorded his statement. He visited the PO, and thoroughly examined the P.O. He prepared rough sketch map of PO, and he examined all available witnesses and recorded their statements. The victims namely, i) X (7) D/o Lianchhana, ii) Y (8) D/o Lalhmangaihpuia and ii) Z (6) D/o C.Liancheuva were thoroughly examined and recorded their respective statements and then they are forwarded to MO, District Hospital, Champhai for medical examination. The medical report opined that X can be between 7-9 yrs as her permanent molars lower and upper incisors are erupted and lateral incisors are not fully erupted. The doctor opined that Y can be between 7-9 yrs as her permanent first molars upper and lower central incisors are erupted and upper lateral incisors are not yet erupted. The doctor opined that Z can be between 6-7 yrs as her permanent molars have not erupted and no primary teeth exfoliated. The three victims' minor girls were then forwarded to the magistrate with a prayer for recording their judicial statements. Judicial statement of the said victims was recorded. Judicial statement in respect of X D/o Lianchhana of Saikhumphai is reproduced- "On 31.8.2014 after attending Church Service, we are eating corn (boiled corn) in the residence of Z, we also ate lemon; after eating we went down to the place near Hospital, while we were thus near the tree of Jack fruit, accused Lalchhuanzela called us and said "Stop", and he then said "Come into my house". As soon as we entered into his residence, he bolted the door from inside. He then said. "Do you want beating?". Then we said, "NO", he was drunk with liquor. He then said, "Take off your chappal and get into the bed". He then told us to undress our under pant. He opens our private part with his thumb and it was bleeding. He mounted upon us he inserted his penis into our private part, It was very much paining. I wept, he threatened us if we disclose the incident to anybody he would kill us. We then said, "We want to pass urine, so we run away from him".

4. Judicial statement of Y D/o Lalhmangaihpuia-is as follows:-On Dt.31.8.2014, we are eating lemon (ser), after we went out accused called us and lead us into his residence. He made us lie down on the bed, and said if you don't lie down in the bed I will beat you. He bolted the door from inside, and said, "take off your chappals and underpant, if you told your parents, I will kill you". He then assaulted the three of us. He entered his thumb inside our private part, and it was bleeding, and he entered his penis inside our private part, he also said,"First suck my penis with your mouth", and we suck his penis.

5. Judicial statement of Z D/o C.Liancheuva – "On, Dt.31.8.2014 after Church Service, we entered into my residence, we ate Corn and then lemons. We went down the street, and the accused saw us and called us to his residence. After entering his residence, he told us to take off our Chappals and underpants, he then bolted the door from inside and poke his finger into our private part. He then said he would have sexual intercourse with us, and if any of us cried, he would kill us. He then poked his finger into our private part".

6. Birth Certificate of the three victims was not available and seizure could not be made. The undergarments of the three victims were not seized as their clothes had been washed and dried. Age determination of the three victims was done medically. The accused Lalchhuanzela (26) S/o Sapchhuana of Saikhumphai was arrested on 05.10.2014 and thoroughly interrogated. He admitted his guilt and admitted that on 31.8.2014 the three minor girls were coming towards his house. He asked them to come inside his house and then he locked the door and asked them to lie on the bed and to remove their clothes. After undressing them, he inserted his penis inside the vagina of Y. As he could not penetrate his penis he inserted his finger and played with her vagina. After this he tried to do the same with Z. Since he could not penetrate his penis and he pulled out his penis and inserted his index finger and played with her vagina. Then he attempted the same on X. Since he could not penetrate his penis, he pulled out his penis and inserted his index finger and played with her vagina. After this, he asked them to dress their clothes and threatened them not to reveal anything about the incident. The accused was then forwarded to judicial custody on 07.10.14.

Having found a prima facie case U/s 376(2)(i) IPC R/w 4 of POCSO Act well established against the accused person Lalchhuanzela (26) S/o Sapchhuana of Saikhumphai.

Case I/O submitted charge-sheet against the accused.

7. As per section 207/208 of Cr.P.C, all the police reports and its connected papers were furnished to the accused. And the accused having no means to engage a lawyer, advocate H.Lalrinthanga was appointed to defend the accused u/s 304 of Cr.P.C.

8. After hearing both the Id. Special Public Prosecutor and the Id. Defense counsel, charge u/s 4 of POCSO Act 2012 was framed, read over and explained to the accused in his mother tongue and the accused pleaded not guilty to the charge framed against him and claimed for trial.

9. In the instant case we have to see, if the accused actually committed offence under section 4 of POCSO Act 2012.

10. Let us have a quick look at the relevant section of POCSO. [**4.-Punishment for penetrative sexual assault.-** Whoever commits penetrative sexual assault shall be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which shall not be less than seven years but which may extend to imprisonment for life, and shall also be liable to fine]

What is penetrative sexual assault has been describe under section 3 of POCSO Act 2012. [**3.- Penetrative sexual assault.-** A person is said to commit "penetrative sexual assault" if-

(a) He penetrates his penis, to any extent, into the vagina, mouth, urethra or anus of a child or makes the child to do so with him or any other person; or.....].

Any one committing offence u/s 3 of POCSO Act 2012 is punishable u/s 4 of the same act.

11. During trial, in order to prove the guilt of the accused the following PWs were examined by the prosecution.

12. PW No.1 Lianchhana identified the accused and stated that the accused is his neighbor in their village at Saikhumphai. One of the victims is his daughter X who is aged about 7 years. On 4.10.2014 he had returned to his home from tour to Vaphai. His wife had informed him on the next date i.e.5.10.2014 that their daughter was sexually assaulted by the accused. His wife had come to know of the incident from Thluaii one of their relatives who was in turn, informed by the friend of his daughter about the incident. He then questioned his daughter about the incident and was informed that the accused had sexually assaulted her along with two of her friends on the same day at the residence of the accused. The victim could not recollect the exact date she was assaulted. He enquired why she had not informed earlier and the victim replied that she was scared and ashamed to inform him. Then they contacted the family members of the other victims and decided to lodge a complaint. The other victims also informed their parents about the incident after the incident came to light. Thereafter they filed complaint/FIR at Dungtlang out post. They were then referred to Champhai PS. PW No 1 exhibited Exbt. P-1 as the FIR submitted by him which was written down as dictated by him and Exbt. P-1-A as his signature.

On Cross-examination PW No.1 stated that he did not know the exact date the incident occurred. Thluaii is the wife of Liancheuva who is the mother of one of the other victims. He did not personally hear the other victims informing their parents about the incident. His wife was informed by Thluaii about the incident while he was away from home. He did not know whether the victims were regularly visiting the house of the accused before the incident. The accused had married twice. At the time of incident he was married to the second wife, one Paihte lady. The accused has three issues from the earlier marriage. One of the children of the accused is the same age group as the victim and is acquainted with each other. The FIR he had submitted was written down by Tluangtea as dictated by him, Tluangtea is a Teacher at their local residence.

13. PW No.2 Liancheuva identified the accused and stated that the accused person is residing in their village at Saikhumphai. He is also closely related maternally with him. The victim Z (his daughter) is aged 6 years. *Before they came to learn of the incident the victim was complaining of pain in her private parts and that there was bleeding from her private parts.* They enquired from the victim but she did not disclose anything that time. *In fact they stayed up three nights continuous tending to the injury of the victim.* Later the victim disclosed the incident to her friend Ms-i (Dawngzeli) who in turn informed the mother of other victim Y. The mother of Y informed them of the matter to them. Then the victim (his daughter) was questioned and she narrated the incident to them. Thereafter the matter was discussed along with the parents of other victims and it was decided to report the matter to the police.

On Cross-examination PW No.2 stated that they learnt about the incident after about one month. The police did not seized any birth certificate from them since the same was burnt down during the riot at Saikhumphai and fresh duplicate was not yet issued. None of the clothes worn by the victims were seized since some time had passed and the clothes were already washed before they learnt about the incident and did not have any suspicion regarding any incident. It is not a fact that he deposed falsely in the court this day.

14. PW No.3 Thangrimawii identified the accused and said the accused is residing in their village Saikhumphai. The victim Y is her daughter and is aged 8 years. *Before they came to learn of the incident the victim was complaining of pain in her private part.* They enquired from the victim but she did not disclose the incident to them but disclose to her friend Ms-i (Dawngzeli) who in turn informed her elder daughter Lalramchuani who in turn informed

her. She enquired from her daughter Y about the matter and she disclosed the incident that had occurred to her and also the other two victims. Then they informed the parents of the other victims also. Thereafter the matter was discussed along with the parents of other victims and it was decided to report the matter to the police.

On Cross-examination PW No. 3 stated that they learnt about the incident after about one month. The police did not seized any birth certificate from them since the same was burnt down during the riot at Saikhumphai and fresh duplicate was not yet issued. None of the clothes worn by the victims were seized since some time had passed and the clothes were already washed before they learnt about the incident and did not have any suspicion regarding any incident. She accompanied the victim to Champhai for medical examination.

15. PW No.4 Y(8yrs) (victim) identified the accused person saying he is residing in the same village i.e. Saikhumphai where they were staying. She did not remember the exact date of incident, however she recollects that it was a Sunday afternoon after morning Sunday school service. On the date of incident they were cooking corn in the house of the other victim, Z. After they eat the corn she along with the two other victims went outside near the house of the accused to pluck tamarind fruit. The accused called them to his house and instructed them to take of their slippers/chappals. During this time there was no one else in the house of the accused. Then he instructed them to take offtheir underwear and made them lie down on his bed. Then the accused climbed on top of them and rubbed his private parts on their private parts and also pushed his finger inside their private parts. He also threatened them to keep quiet otherwise he would kill all of them. After sometime he let them go and threatened them to keep quiet and not to inform anybody about the incident otherwise he would kill all of them.

On Cross-examination PW No.4 stated that the other two victims were present and the accused had made them lie down on the bed in a row. All of the victims sustained injuries due to the assault by the accused. She had also made statement before Magistrate at Champhai. The police did not seize any of her clothing.

16. PW No. 5 X (7yrs) (victim) identified the accused and stated that he is residing in the same village i.e. Saikhumphai where they were staying. She did not remember the exact date of incident. She recollects that it was a Sunday afternoon after morning Sunday school service. On the date of incident they were cooking corn in the house of the other victim, Z and also made lemon pickle. After they eat the corn and lemon pickle she along with the two other victims went outside near the house of the accused to pluck tamarind fruit. The

accused called them to his house and instructed them to take off their slippers. During this time there was no one else in the house of the accused. The children of the accused are living with their other relatives in the same village. Then he took off their underwear's and made them to lie down on his bed. Then the accused put his finger inside their private parts and there was blood oozing out from their private parts. Then the accused climbed on top of them and rubbed his private parts on their private parts. Thereafter she asked the accused to let her answer the call of nature. The accused let them out and informed them to immediately return. He also threatened them to keep quiet otherwise he would kill all of them. After sometime he let them go and threatened them to keep quiet and not to inform anybody about the incident otherwise he would kill all of them. As soon as they were let out they ran away from the house of the accused. On the way they met Lalramngaihi one of their friends and told about the incident. They did not inform their parents since they were ashamed and afraid. Later on their parents came to know of the incident from the mother of the other victim. Thereafter their parents reported the matter and they were taken to Medical checkup and also Magistrate for recording statement at Champhai.

On Cross-examination PW No.5 stated that the other two victims were present and the accused had made them lie down on the bed in a row. All of the victims sustained injuries due to the assault by the accused. She had also made statement before Magistrate at Champhai. The police did not seize any of her clothing.

17. PW No. 6 Z (6 yrs)(victim) identified the accused and stated that he is residing in the same village i.e. Saikhumphai where they were staying. She did not remember the exact date of incident but she remembers that it was during summer. Sherecollects that it was a Sunday afternoon after morning Sunday school service. On the date of incident they were cooking corn in their house and also made lemon pickle. After they eat the corn and lemon pickle she along with the two other victims went outside near the house of the accused to pluck tamarind fruit. The accused called them to his house and instructed them to take off their slippers. During this time there was no one else in the house of the accused. The children of the accused are living with their other relatives in the same village. Then he took off their underwear's and made them to lie down on his bed. Then the accused put his finger inside their private parts and there was blood oozing out from their private parts. Then the accused climbed on top of them and rubbed his private parts on their private parts. Thereafter they asked the accused to let them answer the call of nature. The accused let them out and informed them to immediately return. He also threatened them to keep quiet otherwise he would kill all of them. After sometime he let them go and threatened

them to keep quiet and not to inform anybody about the incident otherwise he would kill all of them. As soon as they were let out they ran away from the house of the accused. On the way they met Lalramngaihi one of their friends about the incident. They did not inform their parents since they were ashamed and afraid. Later on their parents came to know of the incident from the mother of the other victim. Thereafter their parents reported the matter and they were taken to Medical checkup and also Magistrate for recording statement at Champhai.

On Cross-examination PW No. 6 stated that the other two victims were present and the accused had made them lie down on the bed in a row. All of the victims sustained injuries due to the assault by the accused. She had also made statement before Magistrate at Champhai. The police did not seize any of her clothing.

18. PW No.7 R.Lalremruata stated that he know the accused Lalchhuanzela. At the relevant time he was posted at Champhai PS as SI of police. On 5.10.2014 FIR was received from Lianchhana S/o Lalchhawna of Saikhumphai. And in the FIR had stated that on 31.8.2014 his daughter X 7 years and her two friends namely Y 8 years old and Z 6 years old were sexually assaulted by the accused at his residence. Hence Champhai PS Case No.131/2014 dated 5.10.2014 U/s 376 (2) (f) IPC R/w 4 of POCSO Act was registered. O/C Champhai PS endorsed the case to him for investigation. During the course of his investigation he visited the PO and he examined the complainant and the victims and he recorded their statement. He sent the victims to medical officer, Champhai for medical examination. He also sent the victims to CJM, Champhai for recording their judicial statement. He also examined other witnesses and he recorded their statement. He arrested the accused and he interrogated him and he admitted his guilt before him and he also sent him for judicial remand. During the course of his investigation he received the medical examination report and judicial statement of the victims recorded by CJM, Champhai. Before he completed his investigation he was transferred to Khawzawl PS and he handed over the case and its connected papers to O/C Champhai.

On Cross-examination PW No.7 stated that he did not seize anything in connection with the case.

There was no torn of garments worn by the victims. So far as his knowledge goes, the accused had never been implicated in any other criminal case before the present case. Before he completed investigation he was transferred to somewhere else as such he

did not submit charge sheet. There was no bleeding from the private part of all the three victims. At the time of incident the accused was separated from his wife.

19. PW No. 8 Dr. LT. Lawta stated that during the year 2014 he was posted at Champhai District Hospital as Medical Officer. On 6.10.2014 while he was on duty at the hospital he received requisition from O/C Champhai to examine rape victim Y aged about 8 yrs old, X aged about 7 yrs old and Z aged about 6 yrs old. He examined the rape victims after taking the consent from their respective parents. He was assisted by staff nurse Saizampuii. He has recorded all his findings at his medical examination report.

Exbt P-2 is his medical examination report in respect of victim Y, Exbt P-2-A is his signature.

Exbt P-3 is his medical examination report in respect of victim X, Exbt P-3-A is his signature.

Exbt P-4 is his medical examination report in respect of victim Z, Exbt P-4-A is his signature.

On Cross-examination PW No. 8 stated that there is no injury mark on the body or around the private part of all the victims. There is also no blood stain on the private part and under wear of all the victims. He did not remember whether ossification test to determine the age of all the victims.

20. PW No. 9 B. Kapthanzawna stated that he know the accused Lalchhuanzela produced before the court this day. During the year 2014 he was posted at Champhai PS as Investigating Officer. The present case was registered as CPI PS Case No. 131/14 dt. 5.10.2014 u/s 376(2)(f) IPC r/w 4 of POCSO Act, 2012. The case was endorsed to SI R. Lalremruata. The case IO investigated the case but he was transferred to Khawzawl PS and he handed over the case to OC Champhai PS. OC Champhai PS re-endorse the case to him of further proceedings. He takes up the case and he goes through all the steps taken by the previous IO and thoroughly perused the connected papers. He found no further steps need to be taken and found prima facie case u/s 376(2)(f) IPC r/w 4 of POCSO Act, 2012 and submitted charge sheet before the court.

Exbt P-5 is the charge sheet submitted by him and Exbt. P-5-A is his signature.

On Cross-examination PW No. 9 stated that Birth Certificate in respect of all the victims was seized by the previous IO. So far as his knowledge go under wear of the victims was not seized. No material other than Birth Certificate of the victim was seized in connection with this case.

21. After the prosecution evidence is over, accused Lalchhuanzela is examined u/s 313 of Cr.P.C.

Examination of Accused u/s 313 Cr.P.C:

Q.1. The evidence against you is that on 31.8.2014 you forced the three victim girls namely X (7), Y (8) and Z(6) into your house. What do you say?

Ans : Yes, they came into my house.

Q.2. Then you lock the door from inside and then you forced them to take off their slippers and underpants and let them lie down on the bed. What do you say?

Ans : They played tea-set in my bedroom.

Q.3. Then you, using your fingers inserted your thumbs and fingers into their vagina so much as to caused blood coming out of their vagina. What do you say?

Ans : No, I did not touch.

Q.4. Then, you let them suck your penis in their mouth. What do you say?

Ans : No, I did not.

Q.5. The girls felt pain and wanted to cry but you threatened them not to shout and not to tell to their parents otherwise you will kill them. What do you say?

Ans : No, I did not do.

Q.6. The girls make an excuse saying they wanted to pass urine so you let them go out of the house. What do you say?

Ans :They opened small window and went out.

Q.7. Do you want defence evidence?

Ans : No.

Q.8. Do you have any other thing to say before the court?

Ans : The girls came to my house on June but FIR was submitted on October.

22. As the accused does not want to adduce his defence, both parties submitted argument. The Ld. Special P.P. MrsK.Lalremruati submitted that the three victims are a child of ages 6, 7 and 8 years old. At the time of the incidence, all these minor victims were laid up in a bed in a row on the bed of the accused, and the accused sexually assaulted all of them one by one. They are all witnesses of the incident and hence, all the PWs statements are corroborated each other, and the prosecution proved the guilt of the accused. The child

below ten years naturally are not acquainted with the way of falsifying statements, they have no cause to implead the accused falsely. They have narrated the incident as it happened to them. They are reliable and their testimony inspires confidence, there is no reason why the court would not believe their statements. The accused actually committed sexual assault upon them, hence she prayed for maximum punishment prescribed by the law, as the accused persons who wanted to sexually assault minor children below 10 years are a menace to society.

23. On the other hand the Id. DL submitted that his client is a first time offender, hence, minimum punishment may be given.

24. In the instant case we have the evidence of the three victim minor girls age 8,7 and 6 that the accused called them into his residence. There was no other person except the accused and the three minor victims. The accused bolted the door from inside. The accused forced them to lie down in a row and penetrated their vagina with his finger until the blood came. He also forced them to suckle his penis by their mouth. He also mounted upon one of the girls and inserted his penis. As truly submitted by the Ld.Spl.P.P., the victim's statements are truthful and they inspired confidence. There is no reason why the minor victims would tell a lie. The accused did not denied that the three victims are on his bed, the accused statements is that the three victims play tea-set in his bed. There is no reason why the three minor victims would play teas-set on his bed let alone his residence. There is nobody else in the residence of the accused. Why should the minor victims come to his residence to play tea-set. Therefore, the alibi of the accused is not reasonable and have no basis, it does not inspire confidence. He could not deny the minor victims' presence in his bed. The action of the accused falls under the description of penetrative assault under section 3 of the POCSO Act and the punish prescribed under section 4 above is not less than 7 year imprisonment but which may extend to life, and shall also be liable to fine.

The Ld. DL accepted the guilt of the accused, and only prayed for minimum punishment. Hence there is no need to discuss more about the guilt of the accused. The three victims girls are all examined before the court, they say the same thing. Crossed examination did not alter their statements. It corroborated each other very well. Hence, I am convinced that, the accused assaulted three victims in his residence on 31.8.2014. The village Saikhumphai is a very small village, and in a remote corner of the east of Mizoram state. The fact that the village had been burnt down not long ago, is talk of the town as it was

reported in every local news-paper, and hence the Birth Certificate of the victims were not produced can be accepted. Moreover, the defence side never question the age of the victims.

25. The accused in his examination under section 313 of Cr.P.C admitted that the three girls came into his residence and were on his bed. But he said they are playing tea -set. Simply playing tea-set would not have resulted in submission of FIR to the police and the injury of private part of the victim. Also under section 29 of the POCSO Act the court is required the commission of the Act unless the contrary is proved. If an accused cannot prove his innocence, the court has to presume that he is guilty. In the instant case, the accused could not prove his innocence, and the prosecution evidence I trustworthy and I am therefore, from all the evidences adduced convinced that the accused has committed penetrative sexual assault upon the three minor victims and the accused is guilty of committing offence as prescribed under section 3 of POCSO Act 2012 which is punishable u/s 4 of POCSO Act 2012.

26. Having found the accused guilty I convicted him u/s 4 of POCSO Act. However, on the question of sentence as per section 235(2) of CR.P.C, I heard the accused and his Id. Counsel as well as the Id. Special P.P. The accused pleaded that he has three minor children to feed and his is the head of a family and he is a first time offender and pleaded leniency in his favor.

On the other hand, the Ld. Spl. P.P. submitted that the guilt of the accused is proved by the prosecution and hence she prayed for maximum punishment as prescribed by law.

27. After hearing both the accused and the prosecution, I am opinion that the accused is a first time offender and hence, maximum punishment may not be inflicted for the first time offenders. The minimum punishment prescribed by law is 7 years imprisonment and hence the minimum punishment may be awarded to the accused Lalchhuanzela s/o Sapchhawna of Saikhumphai village. I sentence him accordingly.

28. Detention period as UTP shall be set off.

29. There is no seized article.

30. Give copy of this order to all concern.

31. Given under my hand and sealed of this court today the 20th of April 2016.

Sd/-LUCY LALRINTHARI

Special Judge, POCSO Act
Aizawl Judicial District,
Aizawl, Mizoram.

Memo No

Dated Aizawl the 20th April 2016.

Copy to:-

- 1) Lalchhuanzela C/o Mr.H.Lalrinthanga, Advocate.
- 2) Mrs.K.Lalremruati, Ld.Spl.P.P, POCSO Act.
- 3) DSP Prosecution.
- 4) O/C Champhai P/S.
- 5) Special Superintendent, Central Jail Aizawl.
- 6) In charge, Judicial Section.
- 7) Case record.
- 8) Guard file.

PESHKAR

APPENDIX

A. PROSECUTION EXHIBIT

Exbt. P-1 - FIR

Exbt. P-1-A - Signature of PW No.1

Exbt. P-2 - Medical Examination Report of Lalnunfeli (victim)

P-2-A - Signature of PW No.8

Exbt. P-3- Medical Examination Report of Lalpekliani (victim)

Exbt. P-3-A- Signature of PW No.8

Exbt. P-4- Medical Examination Report of Lalhrulaitluangi (victim)

Exbt. P-4-A- Signature of PW No.8

DEFENCE EXHIBIT- None

B. Exhibit produced by witnesses - None

C. Court Exhibit - None

D. Prosecution witnesses :

PW No.1 is Lianchhana

PW No.2 is Liancheuva

PW No.3 is Thangrimawii

PW No.4 is Lalnunfeli (victim)

PW No.5 is Lalpekliani (victim)

PW No.6 is Lalhrulaitluangi (victim)

PW No.7 is R.Lalremruata

PW No.8 is Dr.LT.Lawta

PW No.9 is B.Kapthanzawna

E. DEFENCE WITNESSES - None

F. COURT WITNESSES - None

PESHKAR